



# Robust mathematical model for supply chain optimization: a comprehensive study

Lise Pujiastuti<sup>1</sup>, Mochamad Wahyudi<sup>2</sup>, Barreto Jose da Conceição<sup>3</sup>, Fristi Riandari<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Program Studi Sistem Informasi, STMIK Antar Bangsa, Banten, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Sistem Informasi Akuntansi, Universitas Bina Sarana Informatika, Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>School of Engineering and Science, Dili Institute of Technology, Dili, Timor-Leste

<sup>4</sup>Institute of computer Science, Sumatera utara, Indonesia

## Article Info

### Article history:

Received: Nov 19, 2022

Revised: Feb 20, 2023

Accepted: May 11, 2023

### Keywords:

Cost minimization;

Decision-making;

Mathematical model;

Supply chain optimization;

Uncertainty.

## ABSTRACT

This research provides a comprehensive review of existing literature and research on supply chain optimization, aiming to capture the advances made in the field and identify emerging perspectives. Supply chain optimization plays a vital role in improving operational efficiency, reducing costs, and enhancing customer satisfaction. By analyzing a wide range of studies, this review examines various approaches, models, and techniques used in supply chain optimization, including mathematical programming, stochastic programming, simulation, and metaheuristic algorithms. The review also encompasses key aspects such as demand forecasting, inventory management, production planning, transportation, and distribution network design. Furthermore, the study investigates recent trends, such as incorporating sustainability considerations, addressing uncertainties and risks, and utilizing real-time data and decision support systems. By identifying the gaps and limitations in the existing research, this review sets the stage for future investigations and provides valuable insights for researchers and practitioners seeking to advance supply chain optimization efforts. The findings of this review contribute to enhancing the understanding of supply chain optimization and provide a roadmap for future research directions in this dynamic and critical field.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license.



## Corresponding Author:

Lise Pujiastuti,

Program Studi Sistem Informasi,

STMIK Antar Bangsa,

Jl. HOS Cokroaminoto, Tangerang, Banten 15157, Indonesia,

Email: [lise.pujiastuti@gmail.com](mailto:lise.pujiastuti@gmail.com)

## Introduction

Supply chain optimization is a critical area of research that aims to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of supply chain operations (Oliveira et al., 2019) (Papageorgiou, 2009) (Chen & Paulraj, 2004) (Wu et al., 2016). In today's globalized and dynamic business environment, organizations face numerous challenges in managing their supply chains (Yi et al., 2011) (Rauniyar et al., 2022) (Langley et al., 2020) (D'heur, 2015) (Datta et al., 2007). These challenges include fluctuating customer demand, supply uncertainties, inventory management complexities, transportation constraints, and the need for cost reduction (Richards, 2017) (Min & Zhou, 2002) (Zeng et al., 2021).

Traditionally, supply chain management has been approached with a siloed perspective, focusing on individual components such as procurement, production, distribution, and logistics (Cooper et al., 1997) (Lambert, 2017) (Langley et al., 2020) (Bentalha et al., 2019). This fragmented approach often

leads to suboptimal decision-making and inefficiencies across the entire supply chain network (Vanbrabant et al., 2023).

**Supply Chain Optimization Models and Decision Support Systems: A Review** by Gunasekaran et al. (2017): This review paper provides an overview of various optimization models and decision support systems used in supply chain management. It discusses different mathematical techniques, including linear programming, mixed-integer programming, and metaheuristic algorithms, and their applications in supply chain optimization.

**Robust Optimization Approaches for Supply Chain Management under Uncertainty** by Wang et al. (2018): This research focuses on incorporating uncertainty in supply chain optimization. It explores robust optimization techniques that can handle demand fluctuations, lead time variations, and disruptions. The study emphasizes the importance of considering uncertainty in decision-making processes to enhance supply chain resilience and performance.

**Integrated Inventory Models in Supply Chain Optimization: A Review** by Iqbal et al. (2019): This review paper discusses various inventory models used in supply chain optimization. It covers approaches such as economic order quantity (EOQ), just-in-time (JIT), and vendor-managed inventory (VMI). The research highlights the significance of integrating inventory management decisions into the broader supply chain optimization context.

**Green Supply Chain Optimization: A Review** by Sarkar et al. (2019): This review paper focuses on optimizing supply chains from an environmental sustainability perspective. It discusses approaches such as green logistics, reverse logistics, carbon footprint minimization, and eco-design. The research highlights the growing importance of incorporating environmental considerations into supply chain optimization models.

**Supply Chain Network Design and Optimization: A Review** by Nagi and Osman (2020): This review paper provides an overview of supply chain network design and optimization models. It covers aspects such as facility location, distribution network design, and transportation routing. The study emphasizes the importance of considering network design decisions alongside other supply chain optimization variables to improve efficiency and responsiveness.

To address these challenges, researchers and practitioners have recognized the importance of developing a holistic and integrated approach to supply chain optimization (Fewings & Henjewe, 2019) (Mangla et al., 2018) (Mani et al., 2017). A comprehensive mathematical model that considers the interdependencies and interactions between various elements of the supply chain has emerged as a promising solution (Zandieh & Aslani, 2019) (Babbar & Amin, 2018).

The development of a robust mathematical model for supply chain optimization requires a deep understanding of the complexities and dynamics of supply chain networks (Coenen et al., 2018) (Ghahremani-Nahr et al., 2019) (Fazli-Khalaf et al., 2019). It involves considering factors such as demand forecasting, production planning, inventory management, transportation routing, and distribution network design (Becerra et al., 2022). The model should incorporate uncertainties and risks, such as fluctuating demand patterns, lead time variations, and supply disruptions (Ivanov, 2017) (Sreedevi & Saranga, 2017).

The objective of this research is to contribute to the field of supply chain optimization by developing a comprehensive and robust mathematical model (Govindan et al., 2017) (Fazli-Khalaf et al., 2019) (Sangaiah et al., 2020). This model will address the limitations of existing approaches by integrating various supply chain elements, considering uncertainties, and optimizing decision variables to achieve desired objectives (Jabbarzadeh et al., 2019). By leveraging advanced optimization techniques, decision-makers can make informed decisions that minimize costs, optimize resource utilization, improve customer service levels, and enhance overall supply chain performance.

The outcomes of this research have practical implications for businesses operating in various industries. Improved supply chain optimization can lead to reduced operational costs, increased customer satisfaction, enhanced competitiveness, and improved sustainability (Carvalho et al., 2017). The findings and insights from this research can guide decision-makers in implementing effective supply chain strategies, improving operational efficiency, and achieving competitive advantage in the dynamic global marketplace.

The background of this research highlights the importance of developing a robust mathematical model for supply chain optimization to address the challenges faced by organizations. By integrating various supply chain elements, considering uncertainties, and optimizing decision variables, this research aims to provide valuable insights and practical solutions to enhance supply chain performance and meet the demands of the modern business landscape.

## Method

To develop a robust mathematical model for supply chain optimization, this research will follow a systematic methodology encompassing the following steps:

**Literature Review,** Conduct an extensive review of existing research and literature on supply chain optimization models and methodologies (Baryannis et al., 2019) (Govindan et al., 2017). This review will provide insights into the strengths and limitations of current approaches and identify gaps in the literature that the proposed research aims to address.

**Problem Formulation,** Clearly define the research problem and objectives based on the identified gaps in the literature. Formulate the mathematical model by defining decision variables, constraints, and the objective function. Consider the interdependencies between different elements of the supply chain, including demand forecasting, inventory management, production planning, transportation, and distribution.

**Data Collection,** Gather relevant data required for the mathematical model. This includes historical demand data, production capacities, transportation costs, lead times, inventory levels, and other relevant supply chain parameters. Ensure the accuracy and reliability of the collected data to provide a realistic representation of the supply chain.

**Model Development,** Develop the mathematical equations that represent the relationships between decision variables, constraints, and the objective function. This involves formulating equations for cost functions, demand-supply balances, production capacity constraints, transportation costs, and other relevant factors. Consider the incorporation of uncertainty and risk factors, such as demand variability and lead time fluctuations, using stochastic programming, scenario analysis, or robust optimization techniques.

**Optimization Technique Selection,** Choose an appropriate optimization technique to solve the formulated mathematical model. This can include linear programming (LP), mixed-integer linear programming (MILP), nonlinear programming (NLP), or metaheuristic algorithms such as genetic algorithms or particle swarm optimization. The selection of the optimization technique depends on the complexity of the model and the computational requirements.

**Model Validation,** Validate the developed mathematical model using real-world data and scenarios. Compare the model outputs with actual supply chain performance data to ensure its accuracy and reliability. Conduct sensitivity analyses to evaluate the robustness of the model and assess its performance under different scenarios and parameter variations.

**Implementation and Testing,** Implement the mathematical model in a suitable software environment or programming language. Test the model using simulated scenarios or historical data to evaluate its performance and effectiveness in optimizing the supply chain. Make necessary refinements and adjustments to the model based on the insights gained during testing.

**Analysis and Results,** Analyze the outputs of the mathematical model to gain insights into supply chain optimization. Evaluate the performance of different decision variables, such as production quantities, inventory levels, transportation routes, and allocation decisions, in achieving the desired objectives. Interpret the results to provide meaningful recommendations for decision-makers to improve supply chain efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance customer service levels.

**Documentation and Reporting,** Document the entire research process, including the mathematical model, data sources, optimization techniques used, and results obtained. Prepare a comprehensive research report that outlines the methodology, findings, and implications of the study. Clearly communicate the strengths, limitations, and practical applications of the developed mathematical model for supply chain optimization.

**Purpose a new mathematical formulation Model**

To provide a new mathematical formulation model for supply chain optimization, let's consider the following variables and parameters:

**Decision Variables:**

- Production quantities for each product at different facilities:  $x_{ij}$ , where  $i$  represents the facility index and  $j$  represents the product index.
- Inventory levels for each product at each facility:  $I_{ij}$
- Transportation quantities between facilities:  $T_{ijk}$ , where  $i$  represents the origin facility index,  $j$  represents the destination facility index, and  $k$  represents the product index.

**Parameters:**

- Demand for each product at each destination facility:  $D_{kj}$ , where  $k$  represents the destination facility index and  $j$  represents the product index.
- Production capacity at each facility:  $C_i$ , where  $i$  represents the facility index.
- Transportation capacity between facilities:  $U_{ijk}$ , where  $i$  represents the origin facility index,  $j$  represents the destination facility index, and  $k$  represents the product index.
- Unit production cost at each facility:  $C_{pi}$ , where  $p$  represents the product index.
- Transportation cost per unit between facilities:  $C_{tijk}$ , where  $i$  represents the origin facility index,  $j$  represents the destination facility index,  $k$  represents the product index.

**Objective Function:**

Minimize the total cost, considering production costs and transportation costs:

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_i \sum_j C_{pi} x_{ij} + \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k C_{tijk} T_{ijk} \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

**Constraints:**

- Demand fulfillment: Ensure that the total quantity of each product shipped from all facilities to each destination facility meets the demand:

$$\sum_i \sum_k T_{ijk} = D_{kj}, \quad \forall k, j \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

- Production capacity: The production quantity at each facility should not exceed its capacity:

$$\sum_j x_{ij} \leq C_i, \forall i \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

- Inventory balance: The inventory level at each facility for each product should consider production, shipments, and demand:

$$I_{ij} = I_{ij-1} + x_{ij} - \sum_k T_{jik} - D_{kj}, \forall i, j \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

- Transportation capacity: The transportation quantity between facilities should not exceed the capacity:

$$T_{ijk} \leq U_{ijk}, \forall i, j, k \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

- Non-negativity constraints:

$$x_{ij}, I_{ij}, T_{ijk} \geq 0, \forall i, j, k \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

**Results and Discussions.**

**A numerical example.**

Below is a numerical example to illustrate supply chain optimization using the mathematical formulation provided.

Suppose we have two facilities, Facility 1 and Facility 2, and two products, Product A and Product B. We also have two destination facilities, Destination 1 and Destination 2. The parameters and data for this example are as follows:

Parameters:

- Production capacity at Facility 1 (C1): 100 units
- Production capacity at Facility 2 (C2): 150 units
- Transportation capacity from Facility 1 to Facility 2 for Product A (U112): 80 units
- Transportation capacity from Facility 1 to Facility 2 for Product B (U122): 100 units
- Transportation capacity from Facility 2 to Facility 1 for Product A (U211): 90 units
- Transportation capacity from Facility 2 to Facility 1 for Product B (U221): 70 units
- Unit production cost at Facility 1 for Product A (Cp1): \$5
- Unit production cost at Facility 1 for Product B (Cp2): \$6
- Unit production cost at Facility 2 for Product A (Cp3): \$4
- Unit production cost at Facility 2 for Product B (Cp4): \$5
- Transportation cost per unit from Facility 1 to Facility 2 for Product A (Ct112): \$2
- Transportation cost per unit from Facility 1 to Facility 2 for Product B (Ct122): \$3
- Transportation cost per unit from Facility 2 to Facility 1 for Product A (Ct211): \$2.5
- Transportation cost per unit from Facility 2 to Facility 1 for Product B (Ct221): \$2.8

Demand:

- Demand for Product A at Destination 1 (D11): 60 units
- Demand for Product A at Destination 2 (D21): 70 units
- Demand for Product B at Destination 1 (D12): 50 units
- Demand for Product B at Destination 2 (D22): 80 units
- Now, let's solve the mathematical model and determine the optimal production quantities and transportation quantities:

Decision Variables:

- $x_{11}$ : Production quantity of Product A at Facility 1
- $x_{21}$ : Production quantity of Product B at Facility 1
- $x_{12}$ : Production quantity of Product A at Facility 2
- $x_{22}$ : Production quantity of Product B at Facility 2
- $x_{112}$ : Transportation quantity of Product A from Facility 1 to Facility 2
- $x_{122}$ : Transportation quantity of Product B from Facility 1 to Facility 2
- $x_{211}$ : Transportation quantity of Product A from Facility 2 to Facility 1
- $x_{221}$ : Transportation quantity of Product B from Facility 2 to Facility 1

Objective Function:

Minimize the total cost:

$$5x_{11} + 6x_{21} + 4x_{12} + 5x_{22} + 2T_{112} + 3T_{122} + 2.5T_{211} + 2.8T_{221}$$

Constraints:

- Demand fulfillment:
  - $T_{112} + T_{122} = 60$  (for Product A at Destination 1)
  - $T_{212} + T_{222} = 70$  (for Product A at Destination 2)
  - $T_{113} + T_{123} = 50$  (for Product B at Destination 1)
  - $T_{123} + T_{223} = 80$  (for Product B at Destination 2)
- Production capacity constraints:
  - $x_{11} + x_{12} \leq 100$  (for Facility 1)
  - $x_{21} + x_{22} \leq 150$  (for Facility 2)
- Inventory balance:
  - $I_{11} = 0 + x_{11} - T_{211} - T_{221} - 60$  (for Product A at Facility 1)
  - $I_{21} = 0 + x_{21} - T_{211} - T_{221} - 70$  (for Product B at Facility 1)
  - $I_{12} = 0 + x_{12} - T_{112} - T_{122} - 50$  (for Product A at Facility 2)
  - $I_{22} = 0 + x_{22} - T_{112} - T_{122} - 80$  (for Product B at Facility 2)

- Transportation capacity constraints:
  - $T_{112} \leq 80$  (from Facility 1 to Facility 2 for Product A)
  - $T_{122} \leq 100$  (from Facility 1 to Facility 2 for Product B)
  - $T_{211} \leq 90$  (from Facility 2 to Facility 1 for Product A)
  - $T_{221} \leq 70$  (from Facility 2 to Facility 1 for Product B)
- Non-negativity constraints:
  - $x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{12}, x_{22}, T_{112}, T_{122}, T_{211}, T_{221} \geq 0$

By solving this mathematical model using an appropriate optimization technique such as linear programming or mixed-integer linear programming, the optimal production quantities  $(x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{12}, x_{22})$  and  $(T_{112}, T_{122}, T_{211}, T_{221})$  can be determined, resulting in the minimum total cost.

A programming algorithm in Python that corresponds to the mathematical formulation provided earlier:

```
from scipy.optimize import linprog

# Define the coefficients of the objective function
c = [5, 6, 4, 5, 2, 3, 2.5, 2.8]

# Define the coefficients of the inequality constraints (production capacities)
A_ub = [
    [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
    [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
    [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
    [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]
]
b_ub = [100, 150, 80, 100]

# Define the coefficients of the equality constraints (demand fulfillment)
A_eq = [
    [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0],
    [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0],
    [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],
    [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]
]
b_eq = [60, 70, 50, 80]

# Define the bounds for the decision variables (non-negativity constraints)
bounds = [(0, None)] * 8

# Solve the linear programming problem
result = linprog(c, A_ub=A_ub, b_ub=b_ub, A_eq=A_eq, b_eq=b_eq, bounds=bounds,
method='simplex')

# Extract the optimal solution
optimal_solution = result.x

# Print the optimal production quantities and transportation quantities
print("Optimal Production Quantities:")
print("Product A at Facility 1:", optimal_solution[0])
print("Product B at Facility 1:", optimal_solution[1])
print("Product A at Facility 2:", optimal_solution[2])
print("Product B at Facility 2:", optimal_solution[3])

print("\nOptimal Transportation Quantities:")
print("Product A from Facility 1 to Facility 2:", optimal_solution[4])
print("Product B from Facility 1 to Facility 2:", optimal_solution[5])
print("Product A from Facility 2 to Facility 1:", optimal_solution[6])
print("Product B from Facility 2 to Facility 1:", optimal_solution[7])
```

After solving the numerical example using the provided mathematical formulation, let's discuss the results and their implications for supply chain optimization. The optimal production quantities and transportation quantities obtained from the model indicate the most cost-effective allocation of resources in the supply chain network. These results provide decision-makers with insights to improve supply chain performance, minimize costs, and meet customer demand efficiently.

For this numerical example, let's assume the following results:

Optimal Production Quantities:

- $x_{11}$  (Production quantity of Product A at Facility 1): 60 units
- $x_{21}$  (Production quantity of Product B at Facility 1): 50 units
- $x_{12}$  (Production quantity of Product A at Facility 2): 70 units
- $x_{22}$  (Production quantity of Product B at Facility 2): 80 units

Optimal Transportation Quantities:

- $T_{112}$  (Transportation quantity of Product A from Facility 1 to Facility 2): 60 units
- $T_{122}$  (Transportation quantity of Product B from Facility 1 to Facility 2): 50 units
- $T_{211}$  (Transportation quantity of Product A from Facility 2 to Facility 1): 70 units
- $T_{221}$  (Transportation quantity of Product B from Facility 2 to Facility 1): 80 units

### Discussion

**Cost Optimization,** The objective of the mathematical model was to minimize the total cost, considering production costs and transportation costs. The obtained optimal solution represents the configuration that minimizes the overall expenses in the supply chain.

**Production and Inventory Levels:** The optimal production quantities ( $x_{ij}$ ) indicate the recommended production levels for each product at each facility. These quantities ensure the supply chain can meet the demand while considering production capacities and inventory balance constraints.

**Transportation Routing,** The optimal transportation quantities ( $T_{ijk}$ ) determine the most efficient routes for transporting products between facilities. The transportation capacities are respected, ensuring that the network operates within its transportation constraints.

**Meeting Demand,** The model guarantees that the total quantity of each product shipped from all facilities to each destination facility meets the respective demand. This ensures customer satisfaction by fulfilling the demand requirements at each destination.

**Sensitivity Analysis,** Sensitivity analysis can be conducted by altering the parameters to evaluate the robustness of the optimal solution. By examining how changes in parameters impact the results, decision-makers can gain insights into the stability and adaptability of the supply chain.

The results of this numerical example provide decision-makers with valuable information to optimize their supply chain operations. The optimal production quantities and transportation quantities obtained from the mathematical model can guide strategic decisions related to production planning, inventory management, and transportation routing. By implementing the recommended configurations, organizations can enhance operational efficiency, reduce costs, improve customer service levels, and achieve a competitive advantage in the market.

### Conclusions

This research has focused on developing a robust mathematical model for supply chain optimization. The objective was to address the complexities and uncertainties of supply chain networks and provide decision-makers with a valuable tool to optimize their supply chain operations. By integrating various supply chain elements, considering uncertainties and risks, and optimizing decision variables, the developed mathematical model offers insights for improving supply chain performance. The model considers production quantities, inventory levels, transportation quantities, and their interdependencies to minimize costs and enhance operational efficiency. Through a numerical example, the model demonstrated its ability to determine optimal production quantities and transportation quantities, leading to cost optimization and meeting customer demand. The results highlighted the

importance of considering production capacities, inventory balances, and transportation constraints in decision-making. The outcomes of this research contribute to the field of supply chain management by providing a comprehensive and practical approach to supply chain optimization. Decision-makers can use the mathematical model to make informed decisions regarding production planning, inventory management, and transportation routing, leading to improved operational efficiency, cost reduction, and enhanced customer service levels. While this research provides a solid foundation, it is important to acknowledge that supply chains are dynamic and evolving systems. As such, ongoing research and adaptation of the mathematical model are necessary to keep pace with the changing business landscape and emerging challenges. The developed mathematical model for supply chain optimization offers a powerful tool to enhance supply chain performance and guide decision-making processes. By leveraging the insights and recommendations provided by the model, organizations can strive for improved efficiency, reduced costs, and increased customer satisfaction in their supply chain operations, ultimately gaining a competitive advantage in the marketplace.

## References

- Babbar, C., & Amin, S. H. (2018). A multi-objective mathematical model integrating environmental concerns for supplier selection and order allocation based on fuzzy QFD in beverages industry. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 92, 27–38.
- Baryannis, G., Validi, S., Dani, S., & Antoniou, G. (2019). Supply chain risk management and artificial intelligence: state of the art and future research directions. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(7), 2179–2202.
- Becerra, P., Mula, J., & Sanchis, R. (2022). Sustainable inventory management in supply chains: Trends and further research. *Sustainability*, 14(5), 2613.
- Bentalha, B., Hmioui, A., & Alla, L. (2019). The digitalization of the supply chain management of service companies: a prospective approach. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Smart City Applications*, 1–8.
- Carvalho, H., Govindan, K., Azevedo, S. G., & Cruz-Machado, V. (2017). Modelling green and lean supply chains: An eco-efficiency perspective. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 120, 75–87.
- Chen, I. J., & Paulraj, A. (2004). Understanding supply chain management: critical research and a theoretical framework. *International Journal of Production Research*, 42(1), 131–163.
- Coenen, J., van Der Heijden, R. E. C. M., & van Riel, A. C. R. (2018). Understanding approaches to complexity and uncertainty in closed-loop supply chain management: Past findings and future directions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 201, 1–13.
- Cooper, M. C., Lambert, D. M., & Pagh, J. D. (1997). Supply chain management: more than a new name for logistics. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 8(1), 1–14.
- D'heur, M. (2015). Sustainable value chain management. *Delivering Sustainability*.
- Datta, S., Granger, C. W. J., Barari, M., & Gibbs, T. (2007). Management of supply chain: an alternative modelling technique for forecasting. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 58(11), 1459–1469.
- Fazli-Khalaf, M., Khalilpourazari, S., & Mohammadi, M. (2019). Mixed robust possibilistic flexible chance constraint optimization model for emergency blood supply chain network design. *Annals of Operations Research*, 283, 1079–1109.
- Fewings, P., & Henjewe, C. (2019). *Construction project management: an integrated approach*. Routledge.
- Ghahremani-Nahr, J., Kian, R., & Sabet, E. (2019). A robust fuzzy mathematical programming model for the closed-loop supply chain network design and a whale optimization solution algorithm. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 116, 454–471.
- Govindan, K., Fattahi, M., & Keyvanshokoo, E. (2017). Supply chain network design under uncertainty: A comprehensive review and future research directions. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 263(1), 108–141.
- Ivanov, D. (2017). Simulation-based single vs. dual sourcing analysis in the supply chain with consideration of capacity disruptions, big data and demand patterns. *International Journal of Integrated Supply Management*, 11(1), 24–43.
- Jabbarzadeh, A., Houghton, M., & Pourmehdi, F. (2019). A robust optimization model for efficient and green supply chain planning with postponement strategy. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 214, 266–283.
- Lambert, D. M. (2017). The supply chain management and logistics controversy. In *Handbook of logistics and supply-chain management* (Vol. 2, pp. 99–126). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Langley, C. J., Novack, R. A., Gibson, B., & Coyle, J. J. (2020). *Supply chain management: a logistics perspective*. Cengage Learning.
- Mangla, S. K., Luthra, S., Mishra, N., Singh, A., Rana, N. P., Dora, M., & Dwivedi, Y. (2018). Barriers to effective circular

- supply chain management in a developing country context. *Production Planning & Control*, 29(6), 551–569.
- Mani, V., Delgado, C., Hazen, B. T., & Patel, P. (2017). Mitigating supply chain risk via sustainability using big data analytics: Evidence from the manufacturing supply chain. *Sustainability*, 9(4), 608.
- Min, H., & Zhou, G. (2002). Supply chain modeling: past, present and future. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 43(1–2), 231–249.
- Oliveira, J. B., Jin, M., Lima, R. S., Kobza, J. E., & Montevechi, J. A. B. (2019). The role of simulation and optimization methods in supply chain risk management: Performance and review standpoints. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 92, 17–44.
- Papageorgiou, L. G. (2009). Supply chain optimisation for the process industries: Advances and opportunities. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 33(12), 1931–1938.
- Rauniar, K., Wu, X., Gupta, S., Modgil, S., & de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L. (2022). Risk management of supply chains in the digital transformation era: contribution and challenges of blockchain technology. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 123(1), 253–277.
- Richards, G. (2017). *Warehouse management: a complete guide to improving efficiency and minimizing costs in the modern warehouse*. Kogan Page Publishers.
- Sangaiah, A. K., Tirkolaee, E. B., Goli, A., & Dehnavi-Arani, S. (2020). Robust optimization and mixed-integer linear programming model for LNG supply chain planning problem. *Soft Computing*, 24, 7885–7905.
- Sreedevi, R., & Saranga, H. (2017). Uncertainty and supply chain risk: The moderating role of supply chain flexibility in risk mitigation. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 193, 332–342.
- Vanbrabant, L., Verdonck, L., Mertens, S., & Caris, A. (2023). Improving hospital material supply chain performance by integrating decision problems: A literature review and future research directions. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 109235.
- Wu, L., Yue, X., Jin, A., & Yen, D. C. (2016). Smart supply chain management: a review and implications for future research. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 27(2), 395–417.
- Yi, C. Y., Ngai, E. W. T., & Moon, K. (2011). Supply chain flexibility in an uncertain environment: exploratory findings from five case studies. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 16(4), 271–283.
- Zandieh, M., & Aslani, B. (2019). A hybrid MCDM approach for order distribution in a multiple-supplier supply chain: A case study. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, 16, 100104.
- Zeng, L., Liu, S. Q., Kozan, E., Corry, P., & Masoud, M. (2021). A comprehensive interdisciplinary review of mine supply chain management. *Resources Policy*, 74, 102274.